

Orlando Shooting


2016-06-13 11:53:34 by Rain

Prayers and condolences to the families of the victims.

It amazes me that someone will automatically state that if someone had a gun there would be less victims. First stupid part of that statement is "less victims". Secondly, many of these comments come from people that don't have a lot of experience in firing a weapon or have never been in a fire fight. Just because you have a gun doesn't mean you would be successful in stopping someone intent in killing a group of people. Even policemen who have protective gear and trained in these situations are sometimes wounded or killed. Third, these people automatically speak out against gun control and laws. The aim in establishing good gun control laws is to eliminate the ability of such incidents from taking place. People should be able to go to places without the need to be armed or the worry of such incidents occurring

It took 11 police officers firing their weapons to take down this shooter. So 1 good man with a gun would have been more effective (sarcasm).





- [\[Redacted\] 2536](#)

There was a good guy with a gun. There was armed security at the Pulse nightclub in Orlando, Florida, when Omar Meddique Mateen walked inside with an AR-15 assault rifle and a handgun. Not just armed

security, but an off-duty police officer who "exchanged gunfire" with the shooter before he barricaded himself in a bathroom with hostages.